

First-Line Treatments for Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Literature Review

Harrison Nelson, BHSc Student [1]*, Amir-Ali Golrokhian-Sani, BHSc Student [1]

[1] Faculty of Health Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

*Corresponding Author: harrison.nelson@queensu.ca



URNCST Journal
"Research in Earnest"

Abstract

Introduction: Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a type of cancer with a very low five-year survival rate (19%), which motivates research into numerous treatment options to improve survivability and remission rates. Here, some common treatments will be briefly discussed to provide a brief foray into AML treatment. As a very general statement, chemotherapy is the most common treatment for this condition. This is a general statement because different malignancies and multi-morbidities can heavily modify treatment options. These options each have their merits and critical differences, which should be discussed. Some significant medications are all-trans retinoic acid, interleukin II, lenalidomide, and colony-stimulating factors. Some targeted therapies would focus on FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 inhibitors, isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 inhibitors, Gemtuzumab ozogamicin, B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 inhibitors, and hedgehog pathway inhibitors.

Methods: A literature review was performed to summarize all available research on the different categories and types of therapeutic options for AML. Patients at all stages of AML were considered, including newly diagnosed patients and those with relapsed or drug-resistant disease.

Results: Various treatments had their efficacy listed with information gained from various types of studies. The main "efficacy" focuses were remission rates and survivability over varied time periods (i.e., short-term versus long-term).

Discussion: This literature review provided insight into the current treatments of AML and noted that a direct comparison between every treatment type is not possible. Furthermore, several therapies are undergoing clinical trials in combination with chemotherapy, making it difficult to isolate their independent effects.

Conclusion: Treatment options for AML may be affected by the AML subtype, various prognostic factors, cytogenetics, and the patient's medical history. This review aids in accessibly summarizing essential information about AML and different therapeutic options including drug targets as well as identifying future areas of research.

Keywords: acute myeloid leukemia; chemotherapy; all-trans retinoic acid; lenalidomide; colony-stimulating factors; targeted drug therapy; FLT3 inhibitors; IDH inhibitors; BCL-2 inhibitors; HP inhibitors

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous group of clonal malignant myeloid disorders characterized by the overproduction of immature myeloblasts within lymphoblasts found in the bone marrow [1]. Circulating myeloblasts are vulnerable to lysis, cytopenia, and hematopoietic failure due to their immaturity [1]. Furthermore, immature myeloblasts lead to fatigue and weakness symptoms, hemorrhage, infection, and fever. In addition, this cancer can rapidly spread to the blood, after which it can continue to other body parts such as the lymph nodes, liver, central nervous system, and testicles [2].

In Canada, the annual incidence of AML from 1992 to 2010 is approximately 30.61 per 1,000,000 [3], increasing with age. The five-year relative survival rate is 21% [4]. Considering the overall lethality of this illness, it is imperative that better treatments be found to improve survivability. The estimates for AML in 2020 in America

predicted that it would cause about 11,180 deaths (mainly in adults) [5]. As this is just in the United States, the global number would most likely be much higher, especially because 31% of adult leukemias are AML [6].

Treatment options for the illness are impacted by subtype, morphology, and cytogenetics [7], so every patient is different. AML is classified in different subtypes, which vary in symptoms and how they may respond to treatment, but they will share traits that are define AML (e.g. decreased blood cell levels). Myeloid is the most common subtype with some others being monoblastic and monoblastic. Acute promyelocytic leukemia is a specific subtype where cancer cells only mature until the progranulocyte stage, which is very different than the other subtypes. These differences affect treatment efficacies and need to be kept in mind. AML morphology is classified by the shape of the cancer cells. They are categorized based on the immature white blood cell that they most resemble. For instance, myeloid leukemia

cells look like immature neutrophils. Sometimes, AML can appear with red blood cell or platelet producers, being referred to as erythroid and megakaryocytic, respectively. In AML, cytogenetic changes are classified by how difficult they will be to treat (i.e. favourable, intermediate, unfavourable). These changes can inform patient treatment as they can change the behaviours and resistances of the cancer cells [8]. Chemotherapy is the most common treatment for AML [7]. Intensive treatment, such as high-dose therapy and stem cell transplantation, could improve survival among these patients but often is not possible because of age and comorbidities [9].

This article will discuss certain treatments, medications, and targets. These include chemotherapy (induction therapy, stem cell transplants), stem cell transplants, surgery, all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), interleukin II, lenalidomide, colony-stimulating factors (CSF), FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) inhibitors, isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) and IDH2 inhibitors, Gemtuzumab ozogamicin, B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 (BCL-2) inhibitors, and hedgehog pathway (HP) inhibitors.

Therapeutic Options

Chemotherapy

This is the process of using various anti-cancer drugs to destroy/contain cancerous cells. The drugs can be administered via IV, sub-dermally, or into the cerebrospinal fluid. This form of treatment can spread around the body, making it effective against leukemias. It is the primary treatment for AML patients, although those with multi-morbidities may not be able to endure the intense treatment. Its value is due to the fact that it is a systemic treatment that can target cancer cells that have metastasized. Induction or first-line therapy aims to reduce the size of the tumour to make it more controllable for radiation therapy [10]. Stem cell transplants can also be added to help the body recover post-treatment [11]. This is especially important in cases where very high doses of chemotherapeutic drugs are used. The gold standard treatment follows the treatment regimen consisting of the combination of cytarabine (Cytosar-U) and an anthracycline drug [12], such as daunorubicin or idarubicin [13].

Surgery

This is an invasive process of removing cancerous tissue as its primary objective. Removing a tumour may not be feasible as leukemias can spread throughout both the blood and bone marrow. Prior to chemotherapy, surgery is performed to insert a central venous catheter into a large vein in the chest used to give intravenous drugs and reduce additional IV's during treatment. Allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is a first-line treatment for (very/)-poor-risk AML patients [14], and it is a major use of surgical techniques for AML treatment as tumour excision is not a practical option [15].

All-Trans Retinoic Acid

This was initially used to treat acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) and has been shown to inhibit Bcl-2 in AML cells, which is significant as ~84% of AML patients overexpressed BCL-2 survival at diagnosis [16]. It works by increasing retinoic acid induced gene-1 protein levels, increasing the production of Type 1 interferons. These type 1 interferons can then act to combat tumor cells in the body [17]. Furthermore, it has the added effect of promoting apoptosis. A recent primary study showed that ATRA maintenance therapy could be a feasible and effective choice for myelosuppression and hepatotoxicity as the 5-year relapse-free survival rate was higher than ATRA monotherapy [18].

Lenalidomide

The T-cell proliferative effects of lenalidomide are 50 to 2000 times higher than that of thalidomide, and the effectiveness of T-cell interleukin (IL-2) and interferon-gamma (IFN γ) production augmentation is 300 to more than 1200 times higher [19]. This can slow/stop cancer cell growth. Also, it may reduce a patient's need for blood transfusions, reducing the overall resource cost of treatment. Likewise, lenalidomide is more effective in decreasing the production of tumour necrosis factor alpha [20], IL-1 β , IL-6, and IL-12 than thalidomide [21].

Colony-Stimulating Factors

These are involved in white blood cell production, protecting the body from infection, which can be especially dangerous for an AML patient. A 2014 clinical trial strongly argues in favour of incorporation of CSF in frontline regimens for AML [22].

FLT3 Inhibitors

The FLT3 gene is part of the class III receptor tyrosine kinase family of enzymes which catalyzes the phosphorylation of tyrosine residues in target proteins [23]. Several genomic sequencing studies have indicated FLT3 as the most commonly mutated gene appearing in 25 – 30% of adult and pediatric AML patients [24]. Therefore, targeting FLT3 signalling via small-molecule inhibitors is essential as mutations can cause internal tandem duplication of the juxta membrane domain (25% prevalence) and point mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain. These can lead to patients facing higher rates of relapse and lower cure rates [25].

IDH1 Inhibitors

Isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) converts isocitrate to α -ketoglutarate within the mitochondria and mitochondrial matrix [26]. Recurring IDH1 mutations appear in ~20% of AML patients and results in neomorphic enzyme activity, increasing the accumulation of R-2-hydroxyglutarate to abnormal levels, promoting leukemogenesis [26]. In addition, AML patients with IDH1 mutations have inferior

overall survival and complete remission rate compared to patients without the mutations [27]. Thus, individualized treatment for IDH mutations is an important option for patients.

IDH2 Inhibitors

IDH2 is a different isoform of IDH and is located in the cytoplasm with a similar function to IDH1. Mutations in IDH2 appear in ~12% of AML patients and results in the hypermethylation of deoxyribonucleic acid and histone, subsequently halting cell differentiation [26]. Inhibitors selectively target IDH2 signalling and reverse the abnormal methylation of histones and DNA, leading to the differentiation of AML cells [28].

Gemtuzumab Ozogamicin

Gemtuzumab ozogamicin (GO) is a humanized anti-CD33 monoclonal antibody-related to calicheamicin [29]. After internalization and intracellular release, this highly toxic drug is targeted to CD33-expressing leukemic cells (>85% in patients) in mutations present (~30% of patients) in the nucleophosmin 1 (NPM1) gene that codes for the protein called nucleophosmin [29]. CD33 is a transmembrane surface receptor that is a common target for AML as it is commonly expressed on hematopoietic cells and myeloblasts. GO enhances the anti-leukemic efficacy of chemotherapy and promotes cleavage in the lysosome, leading to apoptosis [30].

BCL-2 Inhibitors

The B-cell leukemia-2 family proteins are a critical part of the intrinsic cellular mechanisms of apoptosis [31]. BCL-2 inhibition is an established approach to therapy as AML cells express a high level of BCL-2 protein [32]. Inhibiting BCL-2 mutations allows the intrinsic apoptotic pathway to proceed, mutations that would otherwise affect the apoptotic pathway, increasing cancer cell survival [32]. Mutation of BCL-2 is estimated to have an incidence rate of ~20% in myeloblasts [33].

HP Inhibitors

The Hedgehog signalling pathway plays an integral role in embryogenic development, stem cell maintenance, and cellular proliferation [34]. Mutations causing differential Hedgehog pathway activity can be identified in 50% to 70% of myelogenous leukemia cases [34]. Small molecule inhibitors target the smoothened receptor expressed in CD34+ cells, resulting in apoptosis of AML cells and suppressed leukemic cell proliferation [35].

Methods

A literature review was performed to synthesize and analyze different treatment approaches to AML therapy. Searches were conducted in two databases of scientific literature, namely PubMed and Google Scholar. Abstracts were screened for eligibility by relevance and peer review

status. Studies included in this literature review consisted of randomized controlled trials, case reports, and longitudinal studies. No restrictions were applied on gender or patient ethnicity. Individuals at all stages of AML were considered, including newly diagnosed patients and those with relapsed or drug-resistant disease. All approved therapeutic options and treatment routes were considered in the literature review. If patients withdrew from clinical trials or shifted to different treatments due to side effects, clinical data as reported in the study will be considered. Articles were included regardless of language and publication status, and date. Manuscripts of all animal studies were excluded.

Data Extraction

Study information and relevant outcome measures that were collected upon data extraction:

- *Interventions:* setting, dose, intervention, type of additional/comparator treatment, supportive treatment, intensity of regimen, number of cycles, duration of follow-up, cycles of chemotherapy
- *Outcomes:* disease-free survival, overall survival, event-free survival, treatment-related mortality, adverse events, and quality of life

Results

Below are various statistics from the different treatments mentioned in the introduction. This information can demonstrate the efficacy of each treatment.

Chemotherapy

This can be used in combination with many other treatments, which is partially why it may demonstrate a broad range of efficacy. For example, the standard treatment of daunorubicin + cytarabine ('7+3' modality) can lead to complete remission in 60-80% of adults, but most enter relapse later on [36]. A trial on 122 FLT3 patients found a median survival of 4.7 months and 12-month survival of 20% on chemotherapy patients [37]. The major side effects reported in clinical trials include febrile neutropenia, nausea and vomiting, lung infection, and pyrexia [34].

Surgery

As most surgery is rarely used for AML, allo-HSCT treatments will be the focus. A study evaluated the efficacy of allo-HSCT treating 147 out of 622 AML patients with it. The number of induction cycles required to attain the first complete remission were significantly reduced for Allo-HSCT patients. For the treatment group with daunorubicin/cytarabine, n=403 cycles for those without Allo-HSCT and n=130 cycles for Allo-HSCT patients [38]. The side effects of allo-HSCT treatments include lung infection, nausea, and Graft-versus-Host-Disease which is a leading cause of patient mortality.

ATRA

An *In vivo* study using TEX cells to mimic human AML cells found an observed early or late apoptosis in 55% of cells after a 4-day treatment with ATRA + 2d or tranylcypromine (TCP). There also was a small increase in p53-null HL-60 cell apoptosis [39]. Another study found that ATRA + TCP when used in 18 patients who could not undergo intensive treatment, had a response rate of 20% with two complete remissions and one partial response. The median overall survival was 3.3 months [40]. The clinical trial found that the most common adverse events were vertigo (n=7), hypotension (n=4), confusion/dizziness (n=4), and skin reactions (n=4).

Lenalidomide

A study evaluating lenalidomide maintenance in high-risk AML patients found an 18.7-month median remission for patients, concluding that the drug is safe and feasible as a maintenance strategy for high-risk patients who are not candidates for autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) [41]. A study found that in older patients (65+) with newly-diagnosed AML, one-year survival was 21% with high-dose lenalidomide, 44% with azacitidine + lenalidomide, and 52% with just azacitidine. This shows that high-dose lenalidomide was not tolerable for most patients, leading to changes in therapy [42]. Generally, lenalidomide is well-tolerated. The above clinical trial reported serious grade >3 adverse events in 13 patients (46%), including skin rash (n=5), thrombocytopenia (n=4), neutropenia (n=4), and fatigue (n=2) [40].

Colony-Stimulating Factors

The overall survival (OS) and relapse-free survival (RFS) probability at 3 years are 78% and 85% [22]. A study using clofarabine + high dose cytarabine + granulocyte CSF priming in relapsed or refractory AML patients found a complete remission of 46% (n=46) [43]. The study reported serious grade >3 adverse events: skin rash (n=5), hepatic transaminases (n=8), pulmonary infection (n=18), and hyperbilirubinemia (n=3) [41].

FLT3 Inhibitors

First-generation multi-kinase inhibitors (sorafenib and midostaurin) exhibit a broad-spectrum of drug targets, whereas second-generation inhibitors (crenolanib and gilteritinib) are characterized as more potent and specific FLT3 inhibition [25]. Therefore, the current standard for first-generation inhibitors is midostaurin in combination with chemotherapy whereas, gilteritinib is currently the primary second-generation inhibitor being tested.

The large-scale phase-3 trial of midostaurin in combination with chemotherapy reported a median OS of 74.7 months and complete remission rate of 58.9% [44]. The advantage of midostaurin in regard to event-free survival was found to be consistent across all subtypes of FLT3 mutations as well as patients had 21.6% lower chance

of having an event than placebo. Notably, patients with midostaurin experienced more <3 adverse events: anemia and rash [44].

The phase-3 ADMIRAL study for gilteritinib reported significantly longer OS (9.3 months compared to 5.6 months with salvage chemotherapy [45]. 1-year survival rate was 37.1% and complete remission rate was 34%. The occurrence of grade >3 adverse events was 19.3 events per patient-year and included febrile neutropenia and anemia [45].

IDH1 Inhibitors

Ivosidenib was the first approved IDH1 inhibitor based on the compelling results of a phase 1/2 clinical trial [26]. The trial concluded monotherapy was well tolerated with a consistent safety profile. The complete remission rate was 21.6% with a duration of 93 months. Treatment related grade >3 adverse events occurred in 21% of patients and included leukocytosis and prolonged QT interval [26]. Mutation clearance occurred in 41% of patients and survival at 18 months was 50.1%.

IDH2 Inhibitors

Enasidenib is a selective allosteric inhibitor that exhibits more potent inhibitory effects on IDH2 than IDH1 [28]. The results from a phase 1/2 clinical trial indicated enasidenib monotherapy in AML is efficacious and safe. Overall response rate was 40.3% with average response duration of 5.8 months. 1-year survival among relapsed patients was 9.3 months [28]. Treatment-related grade >3 events occurred in 5% of patients, most commonly hyperbilirubinemia and IDH-inhibitor-associated differentiation syndrome.

Gemtuzumab Ozogamicin

Gemtuzumab ozogamicin has been studied in several settings, both as a single-agent and in combination [46]. It was re-approved by the FDA after the results of a multicenter trial of 1,022 patients who were treated by GO in combination with chemotherapy [47]. It concluded event-free survival was significantly improved for all patients. The duration of first remission was 10 months and 26% of patients achieve complete remission. Treatment-related grade >3 events were similar between all study arms with an average 76% experiencing common events like cytopenia and gastrointestinal toxicities [47].

BCL-2 Inhibitors

The current standard BCL-2 inhibitor for therapy is venetoclax [48]. The Phase 2 trial studied venetoclax as a single-agent for relapsed AML patients [49]. The trial found venetoclax was well tolerated but had limited anti-leukemic activity in relapsed AML, with a leukemia-free survival and OS rates of 2.3 months and 4.7 months, respectively. The complete remission rate with incomplete haematologic recovery rates were 19%, with an additional

19% of patients exhibiting partial bone marrow response [49]. Treatment-related grade >3 events were reported in 85% of patients with febrile neutropenia as the most common.

HP Inhibitors

Glasdegib was the first FDA-approved HP inhibitor and is often paired with low-dose chemotherapy in AML treatment. In one particular study, AML patients who received low-dose chemotherapy with glasdegib had a reduced risk of death by 54%, compared to chemotherapy alone [35]. The Phase 1b trial assessed the novel Hedgehog pathway of Smoothed inhibitor in combination with standard chemotherapy and found it was generally well-tolerated and consistent with prior findings. The median OS was 27.2 months [50]. No dose-limiting toxicities were observed and overall, 31% of patients achieved complete remission. 87% of patients experienced treatment-related grade >3 adverse events with febrile neutropenia and thrombocytopenia being the most common [50].

Discussion

The results section presented data from every treatment type covered in this article, but direct comparison is simply not possible between treatments. AML can be present in varying severity in different patients, who may also have multi-morbidities to consider. Hence, every treatment has its place where it can be useful. Furthermore, many of these treatments are only used in combination, making it very difficult to isolate their effects as independent entities. The reality is that there are many aspects of patient survival and quality of life that a physician must consider when evaluating treatment plans, and there is no silver bullet that can fix every problem that a cancer patient has. The results will be published to elucidate the current treatments of AML and their general descriptions in a format that allows for easy digestion and comparison of information. This article aims to provide an accessible format for essential information about AML as an entry point for academic pursuits into leukemia.

Conclusions

AML is a heterogeneous group of clonal malignant myeloid disorders due to the overproduction of immature myeloblasts within the bone marrow. Treatment options and overall response rates for AML treatment are highly dependent on the subtype, morphology, and cytogenetics. Moreover, the patient's age and overall health must also be considered when discussing treatment options and possible side effects. Thus, individualized treatment is critical to treating the illness effectively. The standard for most types of AML is chemotherapy, along with a targeted selective inhibitor. This may be consequently followed by stem cell transplant. This paper aimed to summarize essential information about AML and different therapeutic options accessibly. However, throughout this literature review, a

gold standard therapy is still unclear between the several selective inhibitors. Thus, future research should systematically review all the available primary studies on AML targeted drugs to evaluate and summarize the different categories of targeted inhibitors.

List of Abbreviations Used

ATRA: all-trans retinoic acid
CSF: colony-stimulating factors
FLT3: FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3
IDH: isocitrate dehydrogenase
BCL-2: B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2
HP: hedgehog pathway
Allo-HSCT: allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
APL: acute promyelocytic leukemia
GO: *Gemtuzumab ozogamicin*
IL-2/1 β /6/12: interleukin
IFN γ : interferon gamma
TCP: tranilcypromine
p53-null HL-60:
ASCT: autologous stem cell transplantation
OS: overall survival
RFS: relapse free survival

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethics Approval and/or Participant Consent

This study did not require ethics approval or participant consent since it is a literature review and did not involve humans, animals, or tissues in its completion.

Authors' Contributions

HN: Contributed to the research, drafting, and editing of the manuscript from start to finish.
AAGS: Contributed to the research, drafting, and editing of the manuscript from start to finish.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Sara Pishyar for providing her knowledge and experience in mentoring the authors throughout the writing process.

Funding

This study was not funded.

References

- [1] De Kouchkovsky I, Abdul-Hay M. Acute myeloid leukemia: A comprehensive review and 2016 update. *Blood Cancer Journal*. 2016;6(7):e441-e. <https://doi.org/10.1038/bcj.2016.50>
- [2] American Cancer Society [Internet]. What Is Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)? [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/about/what-is-aml.html>

- [3] Ghazawi FM, Le M, Cyr J, Netchiporouk E, Rahme E, Alakel A, et al. Analysis of acute myeloid leukemia incidence and geographic distribution in Canada from 1992 to 2010 reveals disease clusters in Sarnia and other industrial US border cities in Ontario. *Cancer*. 2019; 125(11):1886-97. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.32034>
- [4] The Canadian Cancer Society [Internet]. Survival statistics for acute myelogenous leukemia. 2021 [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.ca/en/cancer-information/cancer-type/leukemia-acute-myelogenous-aml/prognosis-and-survival/survival-statistics/>
- [5] American Cancer Society [Internet]. Key Statistics for Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML). [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/about/key-statistics.html>
- [6] American Cancer Society [Internet]. Leukemia - Acute Myeloid - AML: Statistics [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/about/key-statistics.html>
- [7] Cancer.Net [Internet]. Leukemia - Acute Myeloid - AML: Treatment Options [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.net/cancer-types/leukemia-acute-myeloid-aml/treatment-options>
- [8] Cancer.Net [Internet]. Leukemia - Acute Myeloid - AML: Subtypes. [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.net/cancer-types/leukemia-acute-myeloid-aml/subtypes>
- [9] Rathnasabapathy R, Lancet JE. Management of acute myelogenous leukemia in the elderly. *Cancer Control*. 2003;10(6):469-77. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107327480301000605>
- [10] Daniel Yetman AB. Induction chemotherapy vs. consolidation therapy: What to know: Healthline Media Inc. [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.healthline.com/health/cancer/induction-chemotherapy>
- [11] American Cancer Society [Internet]. Stem Cell Transplant for Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML). [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/treating/bone-marrow-stem-cell-transplant.html>
- [12] Cancer Therapy Advisor [Internet]. Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) Treatment Regimens. [cited 2021 June]. Available from: https://www.cancertherapyadvisor.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/12/2018/12/leukemia-aml_0318r_52455.pdf
- [13] American Cancer Society [Internet]. Chemotherapy for Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)? [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/treating/chemotherapy.html>
- [14] Takami A. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia. *International Journal of Hematology*. 2018;107(5):513-8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12185-018-2412-8>
- [15] American Cancer Society [Internet]. Surgery for Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML). [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/acute-myeloid-leukemia/treating/surgery.html>
- [16] Campos EDV, Pinto R. Targeted therapy with a selective BCL-2 inhibitor in older patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Hematology, Transfusion and Cell Therapy*. 2019;41(2):169-77. <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.htct.2018.09.001>
- [17] Kast RE. Potential for all-trans retinoic acid [tretinoin] to enhance interferon-alpha treatment response in chronic myelogenous leukemia, melanoma, myeloma, and renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Biology & Therapy*. 2008;7(10):1515-9. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cbt.7.10.6573>
- [18] Li D, Liu S, Chen L, Fan R, Cheng C, Wei X. All-trans retinoic acid enhances the anti-leukemia effect of venetoclax on acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Blood*. 2019;134(Supplement 1):5055. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2019-128551>
- [19] Quach H, Ritchie D, Stewart AK, Neeson P, Harrison S, Smyth MJ, et al. Mechanism of action of immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDS) in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(1):22-32. <https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2009.236>
- [20] Holstein SA, McCarthy PL. Immunomodulatory drugs in multiple myeloma: Mechanisms of action and clinical experience. *Drugs*. 2017;77(5):505-20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-017-0689-1>
- [21] WebMD [Internet]. Lenalidomide Capsule. [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.webmd.com/drugs/2/drug-94823/lenalidomide-oral/details>
- [22] Drugs.com [Internet]. Colony stimulating factors. [cited 2021 June]. Available from: <https://www.drugs.com/drug-class/colony-stimulating-factors.html>
- [23] Paul MK, Mukhopadhyay AK. Tyrosine kinase – Role and significance in cancer. *International Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2004;101-15. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.1.101>
- [24] Smith CC. The growing landscape of FLT3 inhibition in AML. *Hematology*. 2019;2019(1):539-47. <https://doi.org/10.1182/hematology.2019000058>
- [25] Adrián Mosquera Orqueira LBP, Alicia Mosquera Torre, Andrés Peleteiro Raíndo, Miguel Cid López, José Á Díaz Arias, Roi Ferreiro Ferro, Beatriz Antelo Rodríguez, Marta S González Pérez, Manuel Albors Ferreiro, Natalia Alonso Vence, Manuel M Pérez Encinas, José L Bello López, Giovanni Martinelli, Claudio Cerchione. FLT3 inhibitors in the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia: Current status and future perspectives. *Minerva Medica*. 2020;111(5):427–42. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0026-4806.20.06989-X>

- [26] Liu X, Gong Y. Isocitrate dehydrogenase inhibitors in acute myeloid leukemia. *Biomarker Research*. 2019;7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40364-019-0173-z>
- [27] Jian-Hua Feng X-PG, Yuan-Yuan Chen, Zhu-Jun Wang, Yu-Ping Cheng, Yong-Min Tang. Prognostic significance of IDH1 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia: A meta-analysis. *American Journal of Blood Research*. 2012;2(4):254–64. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3512179/#:~:text=AML%20patients%20with%20IDH1%20mutations,CI%3A%201.04%E2%80%931.63>
- [28] Stein EM, Dinardo CD, Pollyea DA, Fathi AT, Roboz GJ, Altman JK, et al. Enasidenib in mutant IDH2 relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2017;130(6):722-31. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-04-779405>
- [29] Estey EH. Acute myeloid leukemia: 2019 update on risk-stratification and management. *American Journal of Hematology*. 2018;93(10):1267-91. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25214>
- [30] Falini B, Brunetti L, Sportoletti P, Martelli MP. NPM1-mutated acute myeloid leukemia: From bench to bedside. *Blood*. 2020;136(15):1707-21. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019004226>
- [31] Ryan CE, Davids MS. BCL-2 Inhibitors, present and future. *Journal of Cancer*. 2019;25(6):401-9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.0000000000000408>
- [32] Konopleva M, Letai A. BCL-2 inhibition in AML: An unexpected bonus? *Blood*. 2018;132(10):1007-12. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-03-828269>
- [33] Schuetz JM, Johnson NA, Morin RD, Scott DW, Tan K, Ben-Nierah S, et al. BCL2 mutations in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(6):1383-90. <https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2011.378>
- [34] Habashy S, Jafri A, Osman HO, Thomas NE, Udekwe S, Heindl SE. Hedgehog pathway inhibitors: Clinical implications and resistance in the treatment of basal cell carcinoma. *Cureus*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.13859>
- [35] Khan AA, Harrison CN, McLornan DP. Targeting of the hedgehog pathway in myeloid malignancies: Still a worthy chase? *British Journal of Haematology*. 2015;170(3):323-35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.13426>
- [36] Zheng L, Huang L, Hui Y, Huang L, Li Y, Shang Z, et al. Clinical efficacy of decitabine-containing induction chemotherapy in de-novo non-elderly acute myeloid leukemia. *International Journal of Oncology*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2020.5033>
- [37] Cortes JE, Khaled S, Martinelli G, Perl AE, Ganguly S, Russell N, et al. Quizartinib versus salvage chemotherapy in relapsed or refractory FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukaemia (QuANTUM-R): A multicentre, randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2019;20(7):984-97. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045\(19\)30150-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(19)30150-0)
- [38] Grosicki S, Holowiecki J, Kuliczkowski K, Skotnicki A, Hellmann A, Kyrzcz-Krzemien S, et al. Assessing the efficacy of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells transplantation (allo-HSCT) by analyzing survival end points in defined groups of acute myeloid leukemia patients: A retrospective, multicenter Polish adult leukemia group study. *American Journal of Hematology*. 2015;90(10):904-9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.24113>
- [39] Schenk T, Chen WC, Göllner S, Howell L, Jin L, Hebestreit K, et al. Inhibition of the LSD1 (KDM1A) demethylase reactivates the all-trans-retinoic acid differentiation pathway in acute myeloid leukemia. *Nature Medicine*. 2012;18(4):605-11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2661>
- [40] Wass M, Göllner S, Besenbeck B, Schlenk RF, Mundmann P, Göthert JR, et al. A proof of concept phase I/II pilot trial of LSD1 inhibition by tranlycypromine combined with ATRA in refractory/relapsed AML patients not eligible for intensive therapy. *Leukemia*. 2021;35(3):701-11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-020-0892-z>
- [41] Abou Dalle I, Kantarjian HM, Ravandi F, Daver N, Wang X, Jabbour E, et al. Phase 2 study of lenalidomide maintenance for patients with high-risk acute myeloid leukemia in remission. *Cancer*. 2021;127(11):1894-900. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.33409>
- [42] Medeiros BC, McCaul K, Kambhampati S, Pollyea DA, Kumar R, Silverman LR, et al. Randomized study of continuous high-dose lenalidomide, sequential azacitidine and lenalidomide, or azacitidine in persons 65 years and over with newly-diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2018;103(1):101-6. <https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2017.172353>
- [43] Becker PS, Kantarjian HM, Appelbaum FR, Petersdorf SH, Storer B, Pierce S, et al. Clofarabine with high dose cytarabine and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) priming for relapsed and refractory acute myeloid leukaemia. *British Journal of Haematology*. 2011;155(2):182-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2011.08831.x>
- [44] Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, Laumann K, Geyer S, Bloomfield CD, et al. Midostaurin plus chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia with FLT3 mutation. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2017;377(5):454-64. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1614359>
- [45] Perl AE, Martinelli G, Cortes JE, Neubauer A, Berman E, Paolini S, et al. Gilteritinib or chemotherapy for relapsed or refractory FLT3-mutated AML. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2019;381(18):1728-40. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1902688>

- [46] Baron J, Wang ES. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. *Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology*. 2018;11(6):549-59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2018.1478725>
- [47] Gamis AS, Alonzo TA, Meshinchi S, Sung L, Gerbing RB, Raimondi SC, et al. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin in children and adolescents with de novo acute myeloid leukemia improves event-free survival by reducing relapse risk: Results from the randomized phase III children's oncology group trial AAML0531. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2014;32(27):3021-32. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2014.55.3628>
- [48] Guerra VA, Dinardo C, Konopleva M. Venetoclax-based therapies for acute myeloid leukemia. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Haematology*. 2019;32(2):145-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beha.2019.05.008>
- [49] Konopleva M, Pollyea DA, Potluri J, Chyla B, Hogdal L, Busman T, et al. Efficacy and biological correlates of response in a phase ii study of venetoclax monotherapy in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. *Cancer Discovery*. 2016;6(10):1106-17. <https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.cd-16-0313>
- [50] Savona MR, Pollyea DA, Stock W, Oehler VG, Schroeder MA, Lancet J, et al. Phase IB study of Glasdegib, a hedgehog pathway inhibitor, in combination with standard chemotherapy in patients with AML or high-risk MDS. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2018;24(10):2294-303. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-17-2824>

Article Information

Managing Editor: Jeremy Y. Ng

Peer Reviewers: Sara Pishyar, Foram Vyas

Article Dates: Received Aug 02 21; Accepted Oct 04 21; Published Nov 17 21

Citation

Please cite this article as follows:

Nelson H, Golrokhian-Sani A. First-line treatments for patients with acute myeloid leukemia: A literature review. *URNCST Journal*. 2021 Nov 18; 5(11). <https://urncst.com/index.php/urncst/article/view/304>

DOI Link: <https://doi.org/10.26685/urncst.304>

Copyright

© Harrison Nelson, Amir-Ali Golrokhian-Sani. (2021). Published first in the Undergraduate Research in Natural and Clinical Science and Technology (URNCST) Journal. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Undergraduate Research in Natural and Clinical Science and Technology (URNCST) Journal, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://www.urncst.com>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.



URNCST Journal
"Research in Earnest"

Funded by the
Government
of Canada

Canada

Do you research in earnest? Submit your next undergraduate research article to the URNCST Journal!

| Open Access | Peer-Reviewed | Rapid Turnaround Time | International |

| Broad and Multidisciplinary | Indexed | Innovative | Social Media Promoted |

Pre-submission inquiries? Send us an email at info@urncst.com | [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#) and [LinkedIn](#): @URNCST

Submit YOUR manuscript today at <https://www.urncst.com>!