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Abstract

Introduction: The overuse of antibiotics has led to a surge of antibiotic resistant bacteria in recent decades. Animal agriculture has proven to be a significant contributor to this overuse. The investigation of potential alternatives to antibiotics in animal agriculture is thus warranted.

Methods: We conducted a literature review exploring four alternatives for antibiotic use in an animal agricultural setting: phytochemicals, antimicrobial peptides, probiotics, and bacteriophages.

Results: Four different types of antibiotic alternatives were evaluated. The first one is phytochemicals, a broad group consisting of five subtypes: alkaloids, carotenoids, polyphenols, terpenoids, and organosulfur compounds. Many of these display antibacterial properties such as interacting with the cytoplasmic membrane, immunomodulatory activities, inhibiting specific components of DNA replication, and even inactivating specific enzymes vital for the survival of bacteria. All these subtypes displayed various antibacterial properties in animal studies surrounding poultry and livestock. The second alternative is antimicrobial peptides, which have shown to be effective in treating conditions such as post-weaning stress and necrotic enteritis in various animals. The third alternative is probiotics, which have demonstrated both growth promotion and disease prevention properties. One study also concluded that probiotics provide financial benefits to farmers. The fourth antibiotic alternative is bacteriophages, a class of viruses that infect bacteria. Phages have shown disease prevention and growth promotion properties; they also can prevent the transmission of zoonotic diseases.

Discussion: Phytochemicals, antimicrobial peptides, probiotics, and bacteriophages all demonstrate the capability of acting as antibiotic alternatives. Each of these alternatives are unique with their own advantages and disadvantages; hence, the applicability is broad.

Conclusion: Four antibiotic alternatives (phytochemicals, antimicrobial peptides, probiotics, and bacteriophages) were researched for disease prevention and growth promotion properties, inherent functions of antibiotics. The applicability of such options in livestock and poultry is vast. Therefore, given more funding for research studies and policy changes, many of these options can be implemented if not already.
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administrations of the antibiotic fluoroquinolone in poultry demonstrated a positive association with the increasing prevalence of resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* [3-4]. Subsequent analyses by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) confirmed that agriculture had a role in this resistance development and banned further use of fluoroquinolones in poultry [3].

A considerable perpetrator to the creation of resistant bacteria is the “irrational use” of antibiotics [2,5]. This refers to the practice of overusing antibiotics without considerations for factors such as the geography, climate, and environmental surroundings, among other factors [2]. In fact, the subtherapeutic use of antibiotics for growth promotion poses a large threat as this practice has been associated with the emergence of resistant bacteria [3,6]. This widely practiced method involves using low concentrations of antibiotics that do not necessarily kill bacteria but instead improves feed efficiency, weight, and thus product yield [2-3,6]. However, the resulting resistant bacteria are selected for and make their way into humans via environmental contamination, food distribution, or direct contact with farm animals [7-8].

In bacteria, resistance genes can be formed spontaneously through random mutations, and can be transferred between bacteria through horizontal gene transfer (HGT) [9]. HGT has allowed for antibiotic resistance to spread from commensal non-pathogenic bacteria to pathogenic strains through conjugation, transduction, and natural transformation [10].

The main HGT process to transfer plasmids between bacteria is conjugation, which can be stimulated by antibiotics [11]. Jutkina et al. (2018) showed that several common antibiotics, including gentamicin and sulfamethoxazole, promoted the conjugal transfer of resistance genes at sub-therapeutic concentrations under the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) [12].

There is clearly an international incentive to reduce the irrational use of antibiotics and to consider alternative products to promote growth and prevent disease in an agricultural setting. Our literature review thus aims to answer the following question: what are some viable alternatives to using antibiotics in an animal agricultural setting to promote growth and prevent disease?

**Methods**

We conducted a literature review exploring four alternatives for antibiotic use within the animal agricultural setting: phytochemicals, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), probiotics, and bacteriophages.

**Results**

With the increasing burden of antibiotic resistance, the search for efficacious alternative compounds is imperative and of high priority. Antibiotics display bacteriostatic and/or bactericidal effects, which antibiotic alternatives must attempt to mimic through growth prevention or disease prevention properties [2].

**Phytochemicals**

Phytochemicals are plant-derived compounds that have shown potential in both growth promotion and disease prevention [13]. These compounds can be categorized into 5 groups: alkaloids, carotenoids, polyphenols, terpenoids, and organosulfur compounds [14-15].

Alkaloids are organic nitrogenous bases that have been used for numerous medical interventions. These molecules are well known for their psychotropic effects as seen in morphine, caffeine, and cocaine. Many alkaloids have demonstrated antibacterial properties including the ability to partake in disruptive interactions with the cytoplasmic membrane of the bacteria, prevent formation of biofilms, and act as intercalating agents [15-17].

While carotenoids have not been widely used as antibacterial agents in agriculture, there is evidence of their potential to do so [15,18-19]. Carotenoids have been demonstrated to act as strong immunomodulatory compounds, which significantly improves an organism’s ability to fight off bacteria [20]. In fact, an *in-vivo* study showed that curcumin, a carotenoid, was able to eradicate *Helicobacter pylori* in mice at an MIC (the lowest concentration preventing bacterial growth) of 5-50 µg/mL, depending on the strain [21]. There is thus value in exploring its use in livestock and poultry [22]. However, a disadvantage of carotenoids is that they have been shown to potentially decrease the effects of certain antibiotics when used as an adjunctive [15,23].

Polyphenols can be classified into 2 major categories: flavonoids and non-flavonoids. Flavonoids are strong antibacterial agents due to their ability to disrupt DNA gyrase, inhibit nucleic acid synthesis, and prevent dNTP binding in both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [13,15,17,24]. Additional effects include increased permeability of the cell wall and cytoplasmic membrane as well as the ability to disrupt the functions of adhesins [17].

Terpenoids, such as carvacrol, have also shown strong potential to be antibacterial alternatives [14,25-26]. Like many terpenoids, carvacrol affects both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [14-15,25-26]. By inserting itself into the membrane of bacteria and dissolving the phospholipid bilayer, carvacrol causes disruptions in the ion gradient, instigating both bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects [14-15,27]. Additionally, many terpenes have demonstrated the ability to cause disruptions in biofilm formation, thus preventing bacterial growth [14-15].

Organosulfur compounds are another important group of phytochemicals. Hydrolysis of these compounds yields active antibacterial agents such as isothiocyanates [17]. Isothiocyanates have the ability to bind to sulphydryl groups and cleave existing disulfide bonds on essential extracellular enzymes necessary for bacterial growth and
survival. This effectively causes bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects [15,17,28].

While much of the current research shows the effectiveness of phytochemicals in-vitro, there have been numerous studies showing their antibacterial activity in specific livestock and poultry. Studies on pigs infected with enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli demonstrated that those fed low doses of phytochemicals displayed decreased systemic and local inflammation compared to controls [13]. Similarly, concentrated flavonoid compounds from Psidium guajava have demonstrated antibacterial effects in chickens. Untreated controls had bacterial shedding counts of $86 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL, while chicks given 100mg/kg of Psidium guayava had $43 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL, a reduction of 50% [29]. Additionally, a meta-analysis conducted by Weber et al. (2012) indicated the ability of a phytochemical mixture of terpenes and alkaloids, in combination with benzoic acid, to improve growth and body weight in treated chickens by 25-85g compared to controls [30]. Thus, phytochemicals can provide an alternative to combat the excessive usage of antibiotics at subtherapeutic doses for growth promotion [30].

**Antimicrobial peptides**

AMPs are a naturally occurring group of small molecules produced by all organisms [31]. AMPs can be thought of as evolutionary ancient weapons that are used as the first line of defense to kill bacteria, yeasts, fungi, and even viruses [32-33]. In terms of the mechanism of action, most AMPs are cationic amphipathic molecules. This allows for interaction and permeation with the negatively charged bacterial cell membranes as well as other large negatively charged molecules such as proteins. The resulting outcome is a change in cell morphology which blocks cell growth and eventually leads to death [34].

AMPs are a promising alternative to antibiotics, as they have been proven to promote animal productivity and growth. Specifically, studies have indicated their potential as an effective treatment for pigs undergoing post-weaning stress [35]. Currently, antibiotics are normally used to treat the symptoms of this stress which include intestinal issues such as weight loss and diarrhea, as well as immunological issues such as increased susceptibility to a pathogenic infection [35-36]. Microcin J25 (MccJ25) is an AMP that was isolated from a fecal strain of *E. coli* and has the potential to replace antibiotics [35]. Yu et al. (2017) demonstrated that weaning pigs given MccJ25 supplemented diets showed improved growth performance and reduced diarrhea. These positive effects were likely due to the improvement of the microbiota composition, and intestinal barrier function [36].

AMPs have also been shown as a promising alternative to antibiotics to help treat diseases. Clostridium perfringens has had a large negative impact on poultry production, as an overgrowth of this bacterium causes necrotic enteritis (NE). NE causes decreased weight gain and an increased mortality rate in poultry, costing the industry over two billion dollars annually [37-38]. Heo et al. (2018) discovered an AMP-producing strain, *Streptococcus hyointestinalis* B19 that showed an antimicrobial effect against *C. perfringens* [39]. *S. hyointestinalis* B19 produced a type of AMP known as a bacteriocin, which is a peptide that inhibits a large variety of microorganisms [40]. This bacteriocin-producing strain showcases one potential solution to control *C. perfringens* and help save the poultry industry billions of dollars [38].

**Probiotics**

Probiotics are living organisms (microorganisms or microbial mixtures), which are often referred to as "living drugs" administered to both humans and animals [41]. Probiotics are considered beneficial if they are: non-pathogenic, non-toxic, and can exert the desired effects when delivered in proper amounts [42]. Probiotics are often added to the diet, with the role of creating a beneficial microbial environment within the guts to help with growth promotion and disease prevention [43]. A particular subtype of probiotics is competitive exclusion products, which work by preventing the colonization of pathogenic bacteria. These products have shown high efficacy in disease prevention for young livestock [43-45].

One study examined whether probiotics have an effect on *C. jejuni* infections, one of the most common causes of food poisoning in broiler chickens [46-47]. At the 3 weeks mark, the prevalence of *C. jejuni* was 27% lower in the direct-fed microbial group compared to the control, although growth performance was the same among both groups [47]. Other studies have demonstrated that probiotic use could lead to 20% reduction in mortality in the experimental group compared to the control, a number that is comparable to antibiotic use [48]. Additionally, some studies have shown that probiotic use can increase productivity as measured by increased egg production in chickens [49]. Lastly, Torres-Rodriguez et al. (2007) concluded that *Lactobacillus*-based probiotic, FM-B11, resulted in a healthier turkey population and provided an economically favourable approach to poultry production due to reduced costs [50].

There has been an interest for probiotic use in ruminants. One review mentions how lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are essential for young calves, since they play a key role in reducing morbidity from diarrhea among calves [51]. One study looked at whether LABs have any effect on the uteruses of cattle, and concluded that specific strains of LAB can inhibit some aspects of metritis pathogenesis [52]. Similar studies have also been done on pigs. One study demonstrated that both *Enterococcus faecium* NCIMB 10415 and *Bacillus cereus var. toyoi* improved the gut health of piglets by reducing the incidence of post-weaning diarrhea by 59%, 45%, 39%, and 44% across different trials, though only the latter significantly influenced piglets performance [53].
Bacteriophages

Bacteriophages (phages) are a class of viruses that utilize bacteria as a host. Phage therapy (PT) describes the usage of phages to kill pathogenic bacteria [54]. With regards to animal agriculture, PT has the potential to substitute antibiotics for the purposes of growth promotion, disease prevention (i.e. prophylaxis) in the animal, and prevention of zoonoses [7].

Phages have high specificity for targeted bacterial binding sites [55]. This is advantageous because beneficial microbiota will go unharmed, and the human ingestion of these phages is inconsequential [55]. However, a drawback of their high specificity is that a complement of phages must be implemented to target all pathogenic serovars of a given bacterium [55]. Sklar & Joerger (2001) demonstrated this necessity in their analysis of PT for Salmonella enterica serovar enteritidis in chickens. While the administration of a single phage did not decrease bacterial counts in chickens, a combination of phages did by 0.3-1.3 orders of magnitude [56]. Ideally, a phage or phage combination being used for PT will infect several serovars of a pathogenic bacterium. However, this would require multiple bacterial strains to share common surface receptors [57]. Indeed, phages specific to more than one bacterium exist in nature and have been identified. One study found two phages that target and lyse several pathogenic serovars of E. coli, while importantly leaving non-pathogenic strains unharmed [58].

Some phages are ineffective for PT. Firstly, temperate phages replicate without lysing the host bacterium, and are therefore incapable of rapidly infecting and killing large bacterial colonies [57,59-60]. Furthermore, genetic recombination may also occur between phage and bacterium, allowing for antibacterial resistance to be expressed in the bacterium, or alterations in the phage genome such that progeny phages carry and spread genes for antibacterial resistance or other harmful traits [55]. Virulent phages operating in the lytic cycle are therefore ideal candidates for PT as they immediately replicate and lyse their host, effectively decreasing the likelihood of genetic recombination events [55,59].

Disease prevention is an important application of PT in animal agriculture. PT may be most efficacious in poultry production, where the high population density of chickens leads to the rapid spread of phages [61]. Indeed, PT for prophylaxis in chickens to prevent colibacillosis has been demonstrated successfully [57]. In aquaculture, PT has also been shown to reduce incidences of vibriosis, a fatal disease caused by the bacterium Vibrio anguillarum. In one study, PT increased the survival rate of Atlantic salmon infected with V. anguillarum to 100% survival, compared to 10% survival with no PT [62].

Another application of PT is growth augmentation. This has been validated by Kim et al. (2014), who demonstrated enhanced growth in pigs with phage supplemented feed. This phage mixture targeted E. coli and Salmonella spp., among other pathogens, and resulted in improved average daily feed intake from 2079 to 2222 g [63].

Zoonoses are an important area of study for PT, as this research has the ability to prevent transmission of food-borne pathogens to humans. One study showed that a phage mixture ingested orally resulted in substantially reduced counts of a zoonotic pathogen, C. jejuni, in the guts of chickens [64].

Discussion

Phytochemicals, AMPs, probiotics, and bacteriophages all demonstrate potential to be feasible alternatives for antibiotics. While there may be limitations associated with their use, current data warrants further research for their broader implementation in agriculture.

Phytochemicals provide a promising alternative to antibiotics. However, since phytochemicals tend to have high MICs compared to other antibacterial alternatives, there are difficulties associated with their use as a monotherapy. Hence, interventions tend to require concentrated doses or be more combinative in nature using other adjunctives. There is a benefit to this, as phytochemicals allow increased activity and lower dosage of antibiotics, which decreases risk of developing resistant bacteria [14-15,65-66]. Future research could explore the concurrent effects of phytochemically treated animal products intended for human consumption [13]. With both the ability to promote growth as well as their bacteriostatic and bactericidal properties, there is value in continuing to study their use in livestock and poultry [13-15].

Although AMPs show great potential, they are not a perfect solution to the antibiotic resistance crisis as strategies are already being developed by organisms to combat AMPs. For example, gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria have evolved the ability to neutralize the net negative charge of their cell wall [37]. Without this negative charge, the cationic AMPs would have difficulty interacting and permeating the bacterial wall, thus preventing cell death. Another adaptation in bacteria is the thickening of their cell walls to prevent permeation. It is hypothesized that E. coli are able to upregulate glutamine synthetase, enhancing the production of the cell wall peptidoglycan layer, thus effectively thickening this layer and creating difficulties for proper AMP function [38-39].

Indeed, probiotics have displayed both growth promotion and disease prevention properties [43]. Probiotics could even have economic benefits for farmers, which further incentivizes their use as antibiotic alternatives [50]. Some interesting research has identified synergistic effects between AMPs and probiotics for the purpose of eliminating harmful bacteria. Many types of LAB have been shown to produce bacteriocins [67]. Umu et al (2016) investigated five bacteriocin producing probiotics, and showed that several of them were capable of inhibiting problematic families of gut bacteria (Staphylococcus, Enterococcaceae, and Clostridium) in mice [68]. Although
further probiotic research must be conducted in an agricultural setting, current evidence showcases that using different alternatives synergistically can have a positive impact on aiding in the antibiotic resistance crisis.

PT has the capability to substitute many applications of antibiotic use in animal agriculture. One of the largest drawbacks of PT is its time sensitivity to the pathogen exposure, given that farmers need to be aware of a potential infection within days of its occurrence. One study demonstrated that a bacteriophage for \textit{E. coli} treatment in poultry must be administered within 48 hours of the \textit{E. coli} infection in order to significantly reduce mortality from colibacillosis [59]. Furthermore, phages with insufficient potency can allow for coexistence between phage and bacterium for a prolonged period of time, thus increasing the likelihood of phage resistant bacteria [69]. Future directions of PT include \textit{in-vivo} trials to determine the efficacy of a variety of phage delivery methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Current applications</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phytochemicals</td>
<td>Disruptive actions towards bacterial components and immunomodulatory effects</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory properties, growth promotion, and disease prevention in agriculture practices such as ruminants, swine and poultry</td>
<td>Can provide both bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects through many different mechanisms and targeted structures</td>
<td>High MIC requires concentrated doses for desired effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs)</td>
<td>Interact and permeate with negatively charged bacterial cell membranes as well as other large negatively charged molecules, resulting in a change in cell morphology, blocking cell growth and leading to death</td>
<td>Growth promotion, productivity promotion, and treatment of diseases in animals such as swine and poultry</td>
<td>Can combine AMPs and probiotics to achieve a positive synergistic effect</td>
<td>Bacteria have evolved thicker cell walls and the ability to neutralize the net negative charge of their cell wall, thus stopping the interaction and permeation of the bacterial wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probiotics</td>
<td>Prevents colonization of pathogenic bacteria and inflammation of the gut</td>
<td>Growth promotion and disease prevention in poultry and ruminants</td>
<td>Beneficial for gut microbiome</td>
<td>Potential strain-specific adverse effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteriophages (phages)</td>
<td>Targets and lyses bacteria directly</td>
<td>Growth promotion, disease prevention, and prevention of zoonotic transmission in poultry and livestock</td>
<td>High specificity for infected bacteria (will not infect non-pathogenic strains)</td>
<td>Highly time sensitive to the pathogen exposure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions
Overall, this review explored various antibiotic alternatives and their use in animal agriculture. With the rise of antibiotic resistant bacteria, it has become evident that more diverse prophylactic alternatives are needed. The four alternatives covered in this review include: phytochemicals, antimicrobial peptides, probiotics, and bacteriophages. All of these interventions have demonstrated the capacity to either promote growth, prevent diseases, or potentially both. Interestingly, some of the alternatives, such as AMPs and probiotics, can have financial benefits. Moving forward, there is a vast potential for research as the diversity among these alternatives is tremendous. Indeed, with the rise in popularity, funding for research, and the shown efficacy of these interventions, there is hope for a future with the rational use of antibiotics.
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